28

1	MARY ANN SMITH	
2	Deputy Commissioner DOUGLAS M. GOODING (State Bar No. 83518)	2)
3	Assistant Chief Counsel	5)
3	One Sansome Street, Suite 600	
4	San Francisco, California 94104	
5	Telephone: (415) 972-8548 Facsimile: (415) 972-8550	
6	Attorneys for Complainant	
7	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS OVERSIGHT	
8	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	In the Matter of the Accusation of	File No.: 413-1117
10	THE COMMISSIONER OF BUSINESS	File No.: 415-1117
	OVERSIGHT OF THE STATE OF	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
11	CALIFORNIA,	
12	Complainant,	
13	-	
14	VS.	
15	BROKER SOLUTIONS, INC.	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	This Settlement Agreement is entered into between Broker Solutions, Inc. also doing	
19	business as New American Funding (hereafter "Broker Solutions" or "Respondent") and the	
20	Commissioner of Business Oversight of the State of California ("Commissioner" or "Complainant"	
21	and is made with respect to the following facts:	
22	<u>RECITALS</u>	
23	A. Broker Solutions, also doing busing	ness as New American Funding, is a California
24	corporation in good standing, duly formed, and existing pursuant to the laws of the State of	
25	California with its principal place of business at 14511 Myford Road, Suite 100, Tustin, California,	
26	92780.	

Department of Business Oversight ("Department") pursuant to the California Residential Mortgage

Respondent is a residential mortgage lender and servicer licensed by the California

Act ("CRMLA") (Fin. Code §§ 50000 et seq.). Under its CRMLA license (#413-1117) Respondent currently employs mortgage loan originators in its CRMLA business.

- C. The Department, through the Commissioner, has jurisdiction over the licensing and regulation of persons and entities engaged in the business of lending and/or servicing residential mortgage loans pursuant to the CRMLA, including mortgage loan originators.
- D. In an exam commencing July 16, 2012, it was noted that 46% of funded loan files reviewed showed per diem interest overcharges. Due to the high percentage of overcharges noted in the examination, Respondent was requested to review all California loans originated from March 29, 2011 to January 16, 2013. Respondent submitted to the Department a self-audit report that identified six hundred seventy eight (678) loans overcharged out of a sampling of two thousand six hundred thirty two (2632) for a total amount of \$49,093.69. The Department selected one hundred (100) files included in Respondent's self-audit report to validate the report's accuracy. The review of the additional loan files revealed per diem interest overcharges of fifty-six (56) loans for a total amount of \$6005.63. The self-audit report of Respondent did not identify the additional per diem interest overcharges and therefore Respondent did not issue refunds to borrowers, as instructed. A comparison of the interest computed from the starting date per HUD-1 Statement and Disbursement date from the disbursement documentation, showed that Respondent commenced charging borrowers per diem interest several days in excess of the one day grace period, prior to the disbursement of loan proceeds.
- E. On November 20, 2014, the Commissioner served Respondent through certified mail the following documents: Notice of Intent to Issue Orders and Accusation in Support of Notice of Intention to Issue Orders Suspending Residential Mortgage Lender and Servicer License and Imposing Penalties; Order to Discontinue Violations Pursuant to Financial Code section 50321; Order to Refund Excessive Per Diem Interest Charges Pursuant to Financial Code section 50504; and Statement of Facts in Support of Order to Discontinue Violations Pursuant to Financial Code section 50321 and Notice of Intent to Make Order Final. All of the above documents were dated November 17, 2014 and the accompanying documents are collectively referred to as the "Administrative Action."

- F. On November 21, 2014, Respondent timely submitted to the Commissioner a Notice of Defense, requesting a hearing regarding the Administrative Action.
- G. It is the intention and desire of the parties to resolve this matter without the necessity of a hearing and/or other litigation.
- H. Respondent enters into this Settlement Agreement without admitting or denying any of the findings contained in the Administrative Action.
- I. The Commissioner finds that this action is appropriate, in the public interest, and consistent with the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of this law.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing, and the terms and conditions set forth herein, the parties agree as follows:

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

- 1. <u>Purpose</u>. The purpose of this Settlement Agreement is to resolve the charges alleged in the Administrative Action in a manner that avoids the expense of a hearing and possible further court proceedings, is in the public interest, protects consumers, and is consistent with the purposes, policies and provisions of the CRMLA.
- 2. <u>Finality of Order.</u> Respondent hereby agrees to comply with the Commissioner's Orders that were served on Respondent by certified mail on November 18, 2014, and further stipulates that the Commissioner's Orders are hereby deemed final. The first Order was an Order to Refund Excessive Per Diem Interest Charges Pursuant to Financial Code Section 50504; and the second Order was an Order to Discontinue Violations Pursuant to California Financial Code Section 50321.
- 3. Waiver of Hearing Rights. Respondent acknowledges that the Commissioner is ready willing and able to proceed to an administrative hearing on the charges contained in the Administrative Action, and Respondent hereby waives the right to a hearing, and to any reconsideration, appeal, or other right to review which may be afforded pursuant to the CRMLA, the California Administrative Procedure Act, the California Code of Civil Procedure, or any other provision of law; and by waiving such rights, Respondent effectively withdraws its hearing request and consents to the Settlement Agreement becoming final.

4. Third Party Auditor. Respondent agrees to engage (at its own expense) a certified public
accountant(s) or certified accounting firm, or compliance auditing firm approved by the Department
("Third Party Auditor"), subject to the approval of the Department, which approval shall not be
unreasonably withheld. The Third Party Auditor shall conduct an internal audit of Respondent's
loan information in order to provide the report set forth in Paragraph 5 of this Settlement Agreemen
as follows:

- a. The first audit report shall cover all California loans originated and funded by Respondent from March 29, 2011 to January 16, 2013, and shall be submitted to the Department no later than 90 calendar days after the execution of this Settlement Agreement.
- b. The second audit report shall cover all California loans originated and funded by Respondent from January 17, 2013 to November 30, 2014 and shall be submitted to the Department no later than December 31, 2015.
- c. The third audit report shall cover all California loans originated and funded by Respondent from December 1, 2014 through February 28, 2015 and shall be submitted to the Department no later than March 31, 2016.
- d. The fourth audit report shall cover all California loans from March 1, 2015 to May 31, 2015 and shall be submitted to the Department no later than June 30, 2016.
- e. The fifth audit report shall cover all California loans originated and funded by Respondent from June 1, 2015 to August 31, 2015 and shall be submitted to the Department no later than September 30, 2016.
- 5. Third Party Auditor Reports. Each report of the Third Party Auditor shall include, at a minimum, the following: (i) the total number of loans originated and funded by Respondent during the periods specified in Paragraph 4 above; (ii) the number of loans with per diem interest charges in excess of the amount permitted by Financial Code section 50204(o) and Civil Code section 2948.5; and (iii) for each and every loan, the borrower's loan number, name, address, loan amount, loan date, per diem interest charged, per diem interest that should have been charged under Financial Code section 50504(o) and Civil Code section 2948.5, overcharge amount (if any), date of refund (if

applicable), and evidence of refund (if applicable) in the form of a check and accompanying correspondence mailed to the borrower pursuant to Paragraph 6 below.

- 6. <u>Payment of Refunds.</u> Respondent agrees to refund any amount collected in excess of amounts permitted by the CRMLA, plus interest at the rate of 10 percent per annum (10%) as follows, provided however that Respondent shall not be required to make any identified refund that is less than one dollar (\$1.00):
- (a) Refunds shall be mailed to all of the 678 overcharged borrowers identified in Respondent's self-audit of March 29, 2011 through January 16, 2013, including without limitation any borrowers for whom documentation was missing or incomplete, no later than thirty (30) business days after the effective date of this Settlement Agreement, as such date is defined in Paragraph 26;
- (b) For additional overcharges identified pursuant to the Third Party Auditor's reports described in Paragraph 4, subdivisions (a) through (e) above, refunds shall be sent by certified mail to the last known address of each borrower no later than thirty (30) business days following the respective due date for each report.
- 7. Outstanding Refunds. On December 31, 2015, June 30, 2016, and September 30, 2016, Respondent shall notify the Department of any refund payments that have been returned or remain outstanding for loans originated during the period of March 29, 2011 through the funding date covered by each respective report. Payment owed to any borrower that cannot be reasonably located shall be escheated by Respondent to the State of California pursuant to the provisions of the California Unclaimed Property Law (Code Civ. Proc., §§ 1500 et seq.).
- 8. Payment of Penalties. Respondent agrees to pay a penalty of three hundred sixty seven thousand dollars(\$367,000 in consideration for resolving known violations of California Financial Code section 50204(o) and California Civil Code section 2948.5 (per diem interest overcharges) and further resolving any subsequently discovered violations that are to be fully refunded in compliance with Paragraph 6 above. The amount of \$183,500 shall be due within (20) calendar days of the effective date of this Settlement Agreement, as such date is defined in Paragraph 26, made payable to the Department of Business Oversight, sent to the attention of: Douglas M. Gooding, Assistant

Chief Counsel, Enforcement Division, at the California Department of Business Oversight, One Sansome Street, Suite 600, San Francisco, CA 94104-4428. The remaining amount of \$183,500 shall be due and payable in the same manner within 90 days of the effective date of this Settlement Agreement.

- 9. <u>Declaration of Policies and Procedures.</u> Respondent shall submit to the Department, contemporaneously upon execution of this Settlement Agreement a declaration, under penalty of perjury, from an officer with personal knowledge of Respondent's policies and procedures that sets forth all policies and procedures implemented by Respondent to correct and prevent the violations set forth in the Administrative Action and ensure compliance with the CRMLA. Respondent agrees to make any changes or corrections to such policy within thirty (30) business days following written request by the Department.
- 10. Effect of Settlement Agreement on License. In consideration of the information provided to the Commissioner by Respondent as described in the paragraphs above and Respondent's payment of penalties as provided herein, the Commissioner hereby agrees that except as set forth in this Settlement Agreement, she shall not suspend the residential mortgage lender or servicer license of Respondent or take any further action based on the violations of the provisions cited in the Administrative Action for the period of March 29, 2011 through the date of execution of this Settlement Agreement, as such date is described in Paragraph 26. Accordingly, this Settlement Agreement, which resolves the Administrative Action, does not affect the licensing status of Respondent.
- 11. Failure to File Timely or Complete Reports Concerning Audits or Refunds. Respondent agrees that if it fails to meet any deadline or any requirement in Paragraphs 4 or 5 (regarding the independent auditor's internal review) or Paragraph 7 (regarding outstanding refunds), Respondent shall immediately notify the Department of such failure and cooperate with the Department to cause such failure to be rectified as soon as reasonably practicable, but no later than thirty (30) calendar days after notice is provided by Respondent. Failure to abide by these terms shall result in the revocation of Respondent's CRMLA license number 413-1117. Respondent hereby waives any notice and hearing rights to contest the revocation resulting from failure to comply with Paragraphs

- 4, 5, and 7 above that may be afforded under the Financial Code, the Administrative Procedure Act, the Code of Civil Procedure, or any other legal provisions.
- 12. Failure to Make Timely or Sufficient Refunds. Respondent agrees that if it fails to meet any deadline or any requirement in Paragraph 6 above (regarding payment of funds), other than inadvertent and isolated errors that are promptly corrected by Respondent within thirty (30) calendar days, Respondent's CRMLA license number 413-1117 shall be revoked. Respondent hereby waives any notice and hearing right to contest the revocation result from failure to comply with Paragraph 6 above which may be afforded under the Financial Code, the Administrative Procedure Act, the Code of Civil Procedure, or any other legal provisions.
- 13. Failure to Make Payment of Penalties. Respondent agrees that if it fails to meet the deadline for payment of penalties set forth in Paragraph 8, Respondent's CRMLA license number 413-1117 shall be revoked. Respondent hereby waives any notice and hearing right to contest the revocation result from failure to comply with Paragraph 8 above which may be afforded under the Financial Code, the Administrative Procedure Act, the Code of Civil Procedure, or any other legal provisions.
- 14. Full and Final Settlement. The parties hereby acknowledge and agree that this Settlement Agreement is intended to constitute a full, final, and complete resolution of the Administrative Action and that no further proceedings or actions will be brought by the Commissioner in connection with these matters either under the CRMLA, or any other provision of law, excepting therefrom any proceeding or action if such proceeding or action is based upon discovery of new violations of the CRMLA which do not form the basis for this Settlement Agreement and which are not related to violations of either California Civil Code section 2948.5 or California Financial Code section 50204(0), or which were knowingly concealed from the Commissioner by Respondent.
- 15. <u>Binding</u>. This Settlement Agreement is binding on all heirs, assigns and/or successors in interest.
- 16. <u>Commissioner's Duties</u>. The parties further acknowledge and agree that nothing contained in this Settlement Agreement shall operate to limit the Commissioner's ability to assist

any other agency, (city, county, state or federal) with any prosecution, administrative, civil or criminal, brought by any such agency against Respondent or any other person based upon any of the activities alleged in these matters or otherwise.

- 17. Third Party Actions. It is the intent and understanding between the parties that this Settlement Agreement does not create any private rights or remedies against Respondent, create any liability for Respondent or limit defenses of Respondent for any person or entity not a party to this Settlement Agreement.
- 18. Future Actions by Commissioner. This Settlement Agreement may be revoked and the Commissioner may pursue any and all remedies available under law against Respondent if the Commissioner later discovers that Respondent knowingly or willfully withheld information used and relied upon in this Settlement Agreement. Further, Respondent agrees that this Settlement Agreement does not resolve any penalties that may be assessed by the Commissioner upon discovery of new and further violations of the CRMLA which do not form the basis for the current Administrative Action.
- 19. <u>Independent Legal Advice</u>. Each of the parties represents, warrants, and agrees that it has received independent advice from its attorney(s) and/or representatives with respect to the advisability of executing this Settlement Agreement.
- 20. <u>Counterparts</u>. The parties agree that this Settlement Agreement may be executed in one or more separate counterparts, each of which when so executed, shall be deemed an original. A fax signature shall be deemed the same as an original signature. Such counterparts shall together constitute and be one and the same instrument.
- 21. <u>Waiver, Modification, and Qualified Integration</u>. The waiver of any provision of this Settlement Agreement shall not operate to waive any other provision set forth herein. No waiver, amendment, or modification of this Settlement Agreement shall be valid or binding to any extent unless it is in writing and signed by all of the parties affected by it.
- 22. <u>Headings and Governing Law</u>. The headings to the paragraphs of this Settlement Agreement are inserted for convenience only and will not be deemed a part hereof or affect the

construction or interpretation of the provisions hereof. This Settlement Agreement shall be construed and enforced in accordance with and governed by California law.

- 23. <u>Full Integration</u>. Each of the parties represents, warrants, and agrees that in executing this Settlement Agreement it has relied solely on the statements set forth herein and the advice of its own counsel. Each of the parties further represents, warrants, and agrees that in executing this Settlement Agreement it has placed no reliance on any statement, representation, or promise of any other party, or any other person or entity not expressly set forth herein, or upon the failure of any party or any other person or entity to make any statement, representation or disclosure of anything whatsoever. The parties have included this clause: (1) to preclude any claim that any party was in any way fraudulently induced to execute this Settlement Agreement; and (2) to preclude the introduction of parol evidence to vary, interpret, supplement, or contradict the terms of this Settlement Agreement.
- 24. <u>Presumption from Drafting</u>. In that the parties have had the opportunity to draft, review and edit the language of this Settlement Agreement, no presumption for or against any party arising out of drafting all or any part of this Settlement Agreement will be applied in any action relating to, connected to, or involving this Settlement Agreement. Accordingly, the parties waive the benefit of California Civil Code section 1654 and any successor or amended statute, providing that in cases of uncertainty, language of a contract should be interpreted most strongly against the party who caused the uncertainty to exist.
- 25. <u>Voluntary Agreement</u>. Respondent enters into this Settlement Agreement voluntarily and without coercion and acknowledges that no promises, threats or assurances have been made by the Commissioner or any officer, or agent thereof, about this Settlement Agreement.
- 26. Effective Date. This Settlement Agreement shall not become effective until signed by all parties and delivered by the Commissioner's counsel to Respondent's counsel at Ken.Block@nafinc.com.
- 27. <u>Public Record</u>. Respondent acknowledges that this Settlement Agreement is a public record.

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	28. <u>Authority to Execute</u> . Each signator hereto covenants that he/she possesses all necessary	
8	capacity and authority to sign and enter into this Settlement Agreement.	
9		
10	Dated:7/21/15 JAN LYNN OWEN	
11	Commissioner of Business Oversight	
12		
13	By MARY ANN SMITH	
14	Deputy Commissioner	
15	Enforcement Division	
16	Dated:7/16/15 BROKER SOLUTIONS, INC., ALSO DOING	
17	BUSINESS AS NEW AMERICAN FUNDING	
18	D. D.	
19	By	
20	TITLE	
21	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:	
22		
23		
24	Jonathan D. Jaffe Counsel for Broker Solutions, Inc.	
25	Also doing business as New American Funding	
26		
27		
28		
	10	